

3D world

FILM • TV • GAMES • WEB

NOW INCORPORATING **CGI MAGAZINE**

FREE AND EASY

CREATE HIGH-QUALITY ANIMATION USING
ONLY THE FREE SOFTWARE ON OUR CD p50

MID-PRICE 3D SOFTWARE

SIX MAJOR 3D ANIMATION
PACKAGES UNDER \$750
REVIEWED AND RATED p67

CHEAP AND CHEERFUL?

How to produce professional 3D work -
and still spend less than £500 on software!

>> TUTORIALS >> CASE STUDIES >> TRICKS



IN THE MAG >>

- >> PROFILE: TIM TOM p46
- >> REVIEW: RTRE 1.1 p76
- >> REVIEW: TRUESPACE 6.5 p78
- >> LETTER FROM HOLLYWOOD p22

ON THE CD >>

- >> COMPLETE LOW COST 3D TOOLKIT
- >> 100 IMAGE/AFTER TEXTURES
- >> 40 MODELS FROM 3DPLANTS.COM
- >> 8 PIXEL FADER SCENE FILES

HEAD START

A STEP-BY-STEP
GUIDE TO FACIAL
MODELLING

ISSUE 38 DISC CONTENTS ALSO INCLUDE

- >> 3D Buzz: the max/MODULUS tutorial by Alan Morris (Mac) based on Pixar's Image book chapter
- >> Tim Tots and Killer Bear 2: an Investor by Big 3D contest winner
- >> Supporting files for all CGI and tutorials

Web
www.3dworldmag.com

Next Issue
Issue 39 out 15/06/03



MAY 2003 £6.00
0.5
9 771470 438013

FEATURE

Jeff Law created his Killa Bear effects using Hash Animation Master. Since then he's moved on to top-end apps for effects in movies such as *The Matrix Reloaded*, but still uses Hash's product for his personal work.

CHEAP AND CHEERFUL?

In the second part of our low-cost special, we set out to discover what the industry thinks of sub-£500 apps. We talk to the companies that make them, the pros that use them – and the studios that hire them. BY MARK RAMSHAW



High end applications from the likes of Alias/Wavefront, Softimage, NewTek and Discreet form the backbone of the 3D industry, so it should come as little surprise that these vendors dominate headlines, discussion groups, and trade shows. But consider their high-end, premium-priced 3D software captures only a tiny fraction of the overall market. The majority of computer users aren't working on multi-million-dollar movie effects or lavish broadcast work, and lack the finances or specific expertise such software requires.

A glance at data regarding company ranking by licences sold up to 2001 (source: the Borcaelli Report on the Computer Animation Industry – 2001; www.pixelfnews.com) revealed that in this respect, Caligari, publisher of *macSpike*, is the real market leader.

And Hash Inc., the fiercely independent company behind AnimationMaster, held the number seven spot, cut in front of both Softimage and Alias/Wavefront. This research shows that it's the low- and mid-priced 3D applications, those

talling within the £100 to £500 (\$150 to \$750) price bracket, that really attract the users.

"There are around 15,000 seats worldwide in the high-end market; whereas our potential market is several million," points out Antoine Clapier, President and CEO of Envia Corporation, the publisher of two key affordable product lines: Curan Studio and Ampt.

Understandably, this translates into a massive disparity in sales. Curan's predecessor, MetaCreations' Bay Draw Studio, was selling 100,000 units a year, for example, and Curan looks set to follow suit.

It's possible to break the user base of such applications down into two main sub-categories. At the lowest level are the hobbyists and novices, people who are taking their first steps in modelling, animation, or 3D art and who don't want to break the bank doing so. Then there's the burgeoning 'prosumer' market.

"They are professionals such as graphic designers who only occasionally need some kind of 3D solution," continues Clapier. "These are the sort of people who will choose a program like Curan Studio, which is even priced to sit alongside other programs they'll be using, such as Flash and Photoshop."

While the Curan Studio package targets this burgeoning 'prosumer' market, Envia is also targeting those novices with the stripped down Curan 3D Basic. The aforementioned Hash Animation Master has also found much favour at this level. Company founder and owner Martin Hash says his software "is not a tool, it's a teacher".

"What if you just want to have fun? What if you've got some clever and creative ideas inside you trying to get out, but you can't draw? Can the computer instruct aspiring storytellers how to animate? Can it ease the burden of learning and remove some of the constraints of talent? The solution's got to be easy to use with non-intimidating documentation and interface; and inexpensive. Animation Master strives to fill that goal... It doesn't sell to people in the industry, so it doesn't even compete with established market norms. In fact, the majority of Animation Master's new customers only impression of competing software is that it is hard to use."

LEARNING THE ROPES

But just how useful do beginners, graphic designers and other potential users of low- and mid-range apps find this sort of software? Can newcomers actually learn the ropes with them? And do they truly provide enough functionality to be effective in a work environment?

>>

SO WHY DO YOU USE... ANIMATIONMASTER?



JEFF LEW

AGE: 30

JOB TITLE: Creator of *Killer Bean*

PROJECTS WORKED ON:

Killer Bean (1995–2001), *The Amazing Adventures Of Spider-Man* theme park ride (2002), *X-Men* (2001), *Kung Fu Panda* (2008), *The Matrix Reloaded* (2003)

URL: www.jefflew.com

Jeff is a self-taught animator. Having started out in 1995 with Hash Animation Master and several books on 3D, he honed his skills in his spare time and set about using the software to create *Killer Bean*, a comical animation inspired by the John Woo film *The Killer*. Full-time work in the 3D industry followed, most recently with Jeff working as an animation supervisor on *The Matrix Reloaded*. His latest project is a two-DVD character animation tutorial.

WHAT MADE YOU CHOOSE HASH ANIMATION MASTER IN THE FIRST PLACE? I wanted to create animated shorts with characters in them, and back then it was the only software that had specific character animation tools.

HOW EASY HAVE YOU FOUND IT TO USE? The modelling side of things is much different than other 3D packages, but once you get used to it it's pretty fast. With texture you just slap on a decal and that's it. And the animation is so streamlined, it's probably the closest thing you can get to stop-motion claymation.

HAVE YOU TRIED GETTING RESULTS WITH MORE EXPENSIVE 3D APPLICATIONS? I've used Maya for many years, but only for high-end animation work. I still haven't learned how to model, texture or even render high-quality images with it. I'd rather spend that energy creating 3D art. Animation Master makes my work a lot easier and when things are easier, I can focus on the art side of things, the fun stuff.

WHAT HAVE BEEN THE MAIN ADVANTAGES OF USING ANIMATIONMASTER RATHER THAN A HIGH-END PACKAGE? I have created Animation Master for the low-end computer, so you don't have the RAM or video card requirements that other 3D packages demand, you can preview animations in real

time, and the file sizes are really small. In a word, it's about speed.

IF YOU WERE STARTING OUT AFRESH, WOULD YOU STILL OPT TO USE IT?

Yes, I would. Sure, you can use the learning editions of high-end packages, but you can't do commercial work with them. For me, it's not about playing around or learning 3D, it's about actually making something from it.

WHAT ADVICE WOULD YOU GIVE TO ANYONE LOOKING TO WORK IN YOUR FIELD? If you're looking to become an effects guy, lighter or modeler, you're better off learning the software you think you'll be using at a studio. But character animation is a separate art form. Specific software won't help you animate better. You still have to learn the art of animation. In that case, you'd be better off with using Animation Master, because it takes all of the technical work out of 3D animation.



The proliferation of high-quality images and short animations created using such software certainly suggests that a low price needn't equate with low quality. Jeff Lew (www.jefflew.com) is one of the best-known creators of high-quality, low-cost animation. His *Killer Bean* shorts are famously created using *Autodesk Maya*.

"I started with *Autodesk Maya* mainly because of its price and what it can do," says Lew. "I wanted to become a character animator and make animated shorts by myself, and it was the only fully fledged 3D package in my price range. At the time, seven years ago, buying anything else was definitely not an option."

Since creating his first *Killer Bean* short, Lew has found much success in post-production, most recently lending his talents to several shots in the upcoming *The Matrix Reloaded* movie. Tellingly, he continues to use *Autodesk Maya* for his personal work.

"Low-cost packages definitely offer an all-in-one solution," he says. "You can create some great looking animations. I think the drawback is how far you want to go with the low-cost package. Do you want particles? Sure, you can have particles in a low-cost package. Can I have fluid dynamic particles? Not quite yet. Do you want rigid body dynamics? Yes, but you can't just yet link the rigid body objects together in a chain. Can you render with depth of field, motion blur and global

illumination? Yes, that is available. You just can't render with high dynamic range images. So low cost packages are very complete, it's just a question of how far you want to go."

Although these tools are understandably more modestly specced than their counterparts costing two, three or even four times as much, what they do, they invariably have to do very well. "Despite the low price, users of cheaper software are still very demanding, particularly when it comes to rendering quality," notes Antoine Clapier.

"DESPITE HAVING PAID A LOWER PRICE, USERS OF CHEAPER SOFTWARE ARE STILL VERY DEMANDING, AND PARTICULARLY SO WHEN IT COMES TO RENDERING QUALITY"

Antoine Clapier, President and CEO, EONA Corporation

"Our customers demand more of us than any producer of 'professional' product has to deal with," says Martin Flash. "They want the price of dinner out, the reliability of a microwave, and the ease-of-use of a TV remote."

Martin Flash points out that companies such as his don't save money by skimping on customer care. "We provide free telephone product support. We have a money-back guarantee. Our software doesn't expire, or require a maintenance agreement. Yearly upgrades are only \$99. And we let people transfer their software license."

Similarly, while *form-Z* – used by creative

consultants Graphical House on the titles for Channel 4's recent *Sex And The City* (uncovered right – isn't cheap it costs around \$1,500), the reasons the company gives for choosing to use a rather specialised solid surface modelling tool for this kind of work are relevant, highlighting how modestly specced software can still prove useful for creative professional 3D work.

"Nowadays we carry the big titles because of the price cuts and our move into broadcast and motion, but I chose to use *form-Z* for the *Sex And*

The City night titles: partly for the nostalgia, but mainly because it could do the job," says Graphical House's Graeme Johnston. "The stylised shots of New York have no fancy shaders, which meant there was no need for Maya's sophisticated

but incredibly complex Hypershade, which I hate. And it contains no characters or rigging, no dynamics, particles, fields. It's just basic camera passes through a static architectural city. That can be done in *form-Z*, so why use a team hammer to crack a nut?"

TALENT WILL OUT...

If low-cost applications are used by newcomers in a way of gaining an understanding of the mechanics of modelling, texturing, rigging, lighting, and animating, it follows that many will then be eager to secure a role in the post-production industry. But just how seriously do studios using high-end software take applicants versed only in more modest applications?

Frank Elson is CG Supervisor at the main UK studio of video games developer and publishing giant Electronic Arts. There the artists and animators work use both Maya and XSI.

"A lot of people don't have access to these packages, so we've seen people making use of just about every affordable program imaginable," he says. "We've had some modellers come in who only had exposure to packages like Rhinoceros, for example, but that's still relevant experience. As much as anything, time with a cheaper 3D package teaches them what can go wrong; they get an understanding of the general limitations of 3D software. And I do think most packages adhere to commonly held principles, from work with bones through to consistent logic of layout and menus."

Elson concedes that some experience with the 3D applications used by Electronic Arts does put an applicant in a more advantageous position, but adds, "I'd never take on a technical whiz rather than someone with innate talent."



A sneak peek at some action sequences for the new Jet Li movie. Would you expect Peter to have been used in the visualisation work? David Allen of TeamWorks Digital uses the right tool for the job, regardless of price tag



for your average 14-year-old at home who wants to get into 3D, though. And if someone only needs the most basic features, there's no point in shelling out three or four times the money for things you know you'll never use."

"Even with the price cuts the high-end programs are still very expensive, because you're not just dealing with the initial cost of acquiring

REMEMBER THAT AS SOON AS YOU GET INTO A PRODUCTION, THE STUDIO IS ULTIMATELY HAVING SOMEBODY FOR THEIR CREATIVE ABILITY—NOT THEIR SKILLS IN RUNNING A CERTAIN PIECE OF SOFTWARE"

Michael Carter, head of 3D, *Mayman Resources*

the product," says Antoine Clappier. "Even if Maya's price was to drop again I don't think it would affect us, unless it was selling for \$99!"

"There will always be a need for highly specialised, expensive, and inherently hard-to-use professional 3D tools," says Martin Hash. "The price of high-end 3D packages will have to increase again for people to stay in business, though."

For Jeff Lew, neither the expanding feature sets of cheaper software, nor the lowering prices of high-end software are key issues. "The main factor in my mind is that the more advanced packages haven't become easier, while the cheaper packages have become more powerful and are still easy to use. The reason why I've stuck with Animation Master for so long is because it is easy to use and easy to animate in. I animate much faster in Animation Master than I do in any other software package, and it is not just because of experience. There's also the fact that high-end software companies and the low-cost

software companies listen to what their respective customers want. Big studios want photoreal rendering, advanced particles, all the real fancy stuff to make their effects more believable. Low-end hobbyists want the ability to create complete 3D animations by themselves. The two interests really are different."

But what of programs such as *Maya Personal*

Learning Edition?

These free demo solutions are, after all, aimed at the very same novices and newcomers that are buying programs such

as *AnimationMaster*, *PIXELS 3D*, *Imagin8*, *AniOpus* and so on.

"Coming up with the term *Personal Learning Edition* is a very clever move," says Antoine Clappier. "It's really just a demo, and every company produces demos for their products. It may affect direct competitors, but I don't think it's had any impact on us."

"Even if the competition was free – and it is, either through PLEs or via piracy – new customers would still purchase our software because it does what they want," adds Martin Hash.

"One thing I see happen quite often is that a novice who wants to become a character animator will choose to learn using *Maya PLE*, and then get drawn into the technical side of CG," warns Jeff Lew. "And of course one major difference

between a full low-cost package and a learning edition is that you can do paid work with low-cost software – which you can't do with a PLE."

HALFWAY HOUSE?

There are, of course, a select few affordable packages that have been adopted by the larger studios as well as home users and semi-professionals. *Pose* and *Dvay* are the most significant of these, both notable for the way they make a virtue of limited feature sets. It's extremely time-consuming to create human models and landscapes with traditional 3D applications, but by focusing solely on these niche areas, these programs make it possible to do so both quickly and easily.

Dominic and Ian Higgins at *Soupmedia* (www.soupmedia.com) use *Pose* as the basis for 3D illustration and online avatar creation.

"We picked up a free copy of the original version of *Pose* out of curiosity, and thought it was pretty basic back then immediately saw its potential," says Dominic Higgins.

"*Pose*'s true strength lies in its versatility," adds Ian Higgins. "It's absolutely ideal for 3D novices and those who don't have the time or necessary skills to build a character from scratch, while also offering more experienced users an excellent starting point for their character creation."

Ian admits that this approachability does often lead to it being unfairly dismissed as a quick fix solution,



#041

He also agrees with the notion that applicants working with more limited software are more likely to keep their showreels focused. The sheer versatility of an application such as Maya can actually prove distracting.

"Showreels work better when everything is very clearly shown, rather than clouded in special effects, particles, and flashy elements such as for; in that sense I do think that using a cheaper package can lead to a better presentation."

Michael Carter, head of the CG studio at Aardman Animations, has no doubt that low-cost packages play an important role.

"Spend as little money as possible on the tools you are going to use to learn CGL," he advises.

"There are plenty of freeware and cheap applications out there that do have feature sets similar to the 'professional' packages. As soon as you get into a production the studio is ultimately hiring someone for their creative ability not their skills in running a certain piece of software. You always have to think of yourself as being an artist, not an operator. In the end, the software is only the tool. At Aardman, the applicant's artistic talent is what we are most concerned about."

"With regards to the choice of applications, it really depends how much a student would like to be challenged," says Carter. "I found Autodesk's Maya to be a great tool for first time character animators. It's cheap, relatively effective and can teach the basic principles of CGI animation, like keyframing. Although if you're striving to be a technical director, then a more expensive technical application will probably be best."

PRICE WAR

In the wake of last year's round of software price cuts, the gap between the low and high-end products has obviously narrowed. Could we eventually see the two camps merging?

"I really doubt that the higher-end packages can afford to reduce their price much more than they have," says Jeff Lew. "If Maya reduces their price to \$300, I'd be the first in line to buy it for the things it is capable of—but it still won't be my 3D package of choice. Companies with low-cost packages know they have to cater to their customer base, so they will try to make things as easy as possible, yet still becoming more powerful as they evolve. When creating a large amount of 3D content, you have to factor in 'ease of use' as a cost."

"I must admit, the high-end stuff is pretty reasonably priced these days," says Brian Taylor, currently working full-time using *mini-D* to complete his acclaimed *Rustboy* short film project (www.rustboy.com). "It's still quite a price jump . . ."

SO WHY DO YOU USE... POSER?



DAVID ALLEN

AGE: 22

JOB TITLE: President of TeamWorks Digital

PROJECTS WORKED ON:

Burnout Paradise (2005), *Platoboy* (1997), *My Favourite Martian* (1999), *Cactus*, *Where's My Car* (2000), *Reckless* (2003), *Rocky & Bullwinkle* (2003), *Monkeybone* (2002), *The One* (2001)

URL: www.teamworksdigital.com

David grew up in Arkansas, met up with VFX supervisor Eric Dastur while at the state university and so gained the chance to do an internship at Dream Quest Images. After then taking a degree in film production, he set up TeamWorks Digital as a side venture. Originally created to produce motion graphics for sports teams, it has expanded to take on commercial and feature work. The studio is currently providing a number of the effects shots, plus all of the previz, for Warner Bros' film *Toronto*.

WHAT MADE YOU CHOOSE POSER IN THE FIRST PLACE? It's interactive and easy to look at. When Jet Li's fight team was behind you, explaining a punch or a kick or some unique move that only Jet can perform, they certainly recognise the character controls and thereby become more involved in the process.

HOW EASY HAS IT BEEN TO USE AND GET THE NECESSARY RESULTS? When doing previsualisation, the speed of output is extremely important. Poser has the toolbox of characters we needed to start turning out shots right away.

HAVE YOU TRIED THE SAME SORT OF WORK WITH MORE EXPENSIVE 3D APPLICATIONS? Sure. One of the things a visual effects company has to do well is know when to use what application. One of the benefits of using a company like TeamWorks Digital is the experience we have in taking shots from the design phase and previsualisation through rough compositing and delivery of film resolution visual effects.

WHAT HAVE BEEN THE MAIN ADVANTAGES OF USING POSER RATHER THAN A HIGHER END PACKAGE? Price is the first advantage. And there are many Poser resources on the internet. Characters and walk cycles are already completed and available as freeware or shareware.

IF YOU WERE STARTING OUT AFRESH, WOULD YOU STILL OPT FOR POSER? Yes. It gives them just starting out the ability to see the complexity of such work without having to actually be an experienced character animator.

FINALLY, WHAT ADVICE WOULD YOU GIVE TO ANYONE LOOKING TO WORK IN YOUR FIELD? Start by downloading demos of software such as Poser and Maya. Find every sample project and tutorial you can get your hands on and try them out. Film school is a good starting place as well. Education gives you more than just homework; the people you meet in school are invaluable. And educational discounts on hardware and software can often offset the price of tuition.



"In fact, it requires a fair amount of skill and creativity to produce professional looking results with it, so the argument upheld by many professionals in the 3D world – that using Poser requires no expertise – is completely untrue."

Poser also comes into its own as a previs tool. At TeamWorks Digital (www.teamworksdigital.com) David Allen recently utilized it in conjunction with LightWave to determine the layout, character interaction, timing, lighting and camerawork of the complex action sequences in Jet Li movie *The One*.

"During production we were able to use the software to its potential along with the Poser Pro Pack, which allows user characters to be implemented into larger 3D packages," explains Allen. "We were actually the first to beta test the pack in a feature environment."

Despite his experiences using Poser for feature work, he believes the software shouldn't evolve into a pro-level tool at the expense of its hobbyist appeal. "Poser should always ride the line between large and small. The ability to bridge the two worlds is what makes it so important."

A GROWING ARMY

So it seems that affordable 3D applications are not only alive, but thriving. That army of amateur and semi-pro users continues to grow, and continues to blow away preconceived ideas about just what can be achieved on a limited budget. Granted, they can only ever be of limited use to most industry professionals, but through specialisation, the low-cost favourites are not only grabbing a different market share from the premium price software, but even generally managing to avoid competing with one another.

And while Maya, XSI, LightWave and their ilk are constantly battling to cater for the myriad needs of the post-production industry, the way the low-cost solutions provide more streamlined feature sets makes them numinously approachable and appealing. In the end, it's the fact that these applications are as good at what they do – while remaining so accessible – that makes them irresistible to millions of existing users, and will see them attract customers for the foreseeable future.

"There is a tendency in this industry to judge a software package by its price tag, but the fact is, you don't need to spend thousands of pounds to be able to create works of art," muses Dominic Higgins. "In the end, it comes down to talent – and that, of course, is priceless." ■

SO WHY DO YOU USE INFINI-D?



BRIAN TAYLOR

AGE: 42

JOB TITLE: Creator of Rustboy

PROJECTS WORKED ON: Previously an illustrator

URL: www.rustboy.com

Brian Taylor's interest in 3D began years ago, after seeing renders of projects such as Jim Blinn's Evolution Of A Goblet in magazines, and watching the CG animation used in *Toy Story* and *Pixar's* early shorts. Years later, while making use of his traditional illustration skills for work in the design and advertising industries, he got hold of his first computer (an Apple Mac) and invested in a copy of Infini-D. By 2002 Brian found himself a patron and gave up his day job to spend all his time on animated short *Rustboy*. Along with the film, he is also currently putting together an accompanying book.

WHAT MADE YOU CHOOSE INFINI-D IN THE FIRST PLACE? I first got into Infini-D around 12 years ago when high-end 3D software was completely out of the question – it cost more than buying a house. Also, being a Mac user, there wasn't much choice in the lower-end price range.

HOW EASY HAVE YOU FOUND IT TO USE? I've found it very easy and intuitive, and the rendering quality is excellent for the price. The weakest point is the modeling, but I find this isn't too much of a problem as it is easy to do it elsewhere and import into my scenes.

HAVE YOU TRIED GETTING RESULTS WITH MORE EXPENSIVE 3D APPLICATIONS? I have tried other packages and I just can't get the look I want, though I think this is partly down to familiarity after using Infini-D for so long. It is fairly easy to learn a new 3D package, but getting to the point where you really know it inside-out takes years. It is just like having a learning curve to switch to new software during a project, so it's easier to stick to what I know.

WHAT HAVE BEEN THE MAIN ADVANTAGES OF USING INFINI-D RATHER THAN SUCH A PACKAGE? The relative lack of features suits my way of working and thinking. I tend to have a very set vision in my head before I start, and I'd

figure out a way of getting that look on screen one way or another. I feel that software with too many features, effects, and presets built-in makes people lazy and less creative. Some people like that fact because it gets the job done and not the dock, but it's not for me.

IF YOU WERE STARTING OUT AFRESH, WOULD YOU STILL OPT FOR INFINI-D? No, I'd choose something which is better supported and still developed.

FINALLY, WHAT ADVICE WOULD YOU GIVE TO ANYONE LOOKING TO WORK IN YOUR FIELD? I always say, use what you feel most comfortable with, rather than going for the package which seems to be the 'coolest'. Also, be aware that the majority of the cutting-edge work you see out there probably has a lot more to do with the person who created it than the software used.

